

Citation for published version:

Hintermair, U, Camps, J, Brewster, TP, Pratt, LM, Schley, ND & Crabtree, RH 2014, 'Hydrogen-transfer catalysis with Cp*Ir^{III} complexes: The influence of the ancillary ligands', *ACS Catalysis*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 99-108. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cs400834q>

DOI:

[10.1021/cs400834q](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs400834q)

Publication date:

2014

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in *ACS Catalysis*, copyright © American Chemical Society after peer review and technical editing by the publisher. To access the final edited and published work see <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/cs400834q>.

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Hydrogen-Transfer Catalysis with Cp*Ir^{III} Complexes: The Influence of the Ancillary Ligands

Ulrich Hintermair,^{†§} Jesús Campos,[†] Timothy P. Brewster,^{†#} Lucas M. Pratt,[†] Nathan D. Schley,^{†‡} and
Robert H. Crabtree^{†*}*

[†] Department of Chemistry, Yale University, 225 Prospect Street, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA.

[§] Centre for Sustainable Chemical Technologies, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY,
UK.

[#] Present address: Department of Chemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195,
USA.

[‡] Present address: Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, California 91125, USA.

[§] u.hintermair@bath.ac.uk, [†] robert.crabtree@yale.edu

RECEIVED DATE XX.XX.XXXX

ABSTRACT

Fourteen Cp*Ir^{III} complexes bearing various combinations of N- and C- spectator ligands are assayed in hydrogen-transfer catalysis from *iso*-propanol to acetophenone under various conditions to investigate ligand effects in this widely used reaction. The new cationic complexes bearing monodentate pyridine and NHC ligands were characterized crystallographically and by VT-NMR. Control experiments and mercury poisoning tests showed that iridium(0) nanoparticles, although active in the reaction, are not responsible for the high activity observed for the most active precatalyst [Cp*Ir(Ime)₂Cl]BF₄ (**6**). Interestingly, for efficient catalysis it was found necessary to have both NHCs in monodentate form; tying them together in a bis-NHC chelate ligand gave greatly reduced activity. The kinetics of the base-assisted reaction showed induction periods as well as deactivation processes, and H/D scrambling experiments cast some doubt on the classical monohydride mechanism.

KEY WORDS

Transfer hydrogenation, Cp*Ir complexes, N-heterocyclic carbenes, homogeneous catalysis, kinetics, mono-hydride mechanism.

INTRODUCTION

Transfer hydrogenation is a useful reduction protocol increasing in popularity, in part because these reactions can be run using a variety of liquid or solid hydrogen donors without the need for hydrogen gas.¹ Furthermore, the reversibility of the ionic H^+/H^- transfer² forms the basis for many useful C-C, C-O, and C-N bond forming reactions via ‘borrowing-hydrogen’ methodology.³⁻⁶ The first catalytic systems mediating hydrogen transfer reported by Mitchell and Henbest⁷⁻⁹ and Mestroni¹⁰ were based on iridium compounds, and iridium catalysts are still amongst the most widely used today.¹¹ In the early 2000s, Ikariya and Noyori¹² and Fujita and Yamaguchi¹³ introduced half-sandwich Cp^*Ir complexes (including chiral versions¹⁴⁻¹⁶) as easily accessible and versatile precatalysts, which have quickly found wide use.¹⁷ More recently, some Cp^*Ir -NHC complexes (NHC = N-heterocyclic carbene) have been shown to be particularly effective.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Several Cp^*Ir precatalysts are currently commercially available, and the first examples are moving towards industrial application.²¹

The commonly accepted mechanism of Cp^*Ir -catalyzed hydrogen transfer follows the ‘monohydride route’ assuming full retention of all ancillary ligands.²² Although this pathway represents a reasonable scenario in analogy with other transition-metal based catalysts it fails to fully account for the influence of ligand effects in the Cp^*Ir precursors,²³ partly because the kinetics are still rather poorly understood. Here we present a systematic study of a small library of Cp^*Ir complexes with non-functional²⁴ C- and N- donor spectator ligands in hydrogen-transfer catalysis to investigate ligand effects in this important transformation. In this paper, we focus on the effect of the ancillary ligands around the Cp^*Ir fragment while in a future contribution we will look at the role of the Cp^* ligand. A highlight of the present work is the development of a new, highly active Cp^*Ir precatalyst that may follow a different mechanism from that commonly assumed for this type of precursor.

Complexes **1-14** (Figure 1) were designed as catalyst precursors to probe the influence of pyridines versus NHCs (**1** vs. **2** vs. **3**, **4** vs. **5** vs. **6**, **8** vs. **10** vs. **11**), chelating versus mono-dentate (**4** vs. **11**, **5** vs. **10**, **6** vs. **8**), LL-type versus LX-type (**10** vs. **13**, **11** vs. **14**), and remote pH-switchable ligands (**10** vs. **12**).





Figure 1. Cp*Ir^{III} complexes evaluated in transfer-hydrogenation catalysis.

The reactivity switch of Ag-NHC complexes from mono-NHC transfer to bis-NHC transfer as a function of the coordination ability of the anion described previously for transmetalation reactions with Rh^{I} and Ir^{I} complexes²⁵ was also found to apply to $[\text{Cp}^*\text{IrCl}_2]_2$, and allowed convenient access to mono- and bis-NHC complexes **3**, **6**, and **7**, respectively (Scheme 1 and Figure 2). All other compounds were obtained via slight modifications of known procedures and isolated in good to excellent yields as air- and moisture-stable, crystalline solids after purification (see Experimental Section).

Scheme 1. Single NHC transfer (left) versus double NHC transfer with anion metathesis (right) at $[\text{Cp}^*\text{IrCl}_2]_2$ depending on the Ag-NHC complex used (Z = halide, X = weakly coordinating anion).



Compounds **4**, **5**, **6**, **7**, **9**, and **12** have been characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 2) while crystal structures of **3**,²⁶ **8**,²⁷ **10**,²⁸ **11**,²⁶ **13**²⁹ and **14**³⁰ have been reported previously. In the solid state, all complexes have the expected piano-stool geometry without any unexpected features. As summarized in Table 1, ligand bite angles and bond lengths are very similar in the chelating and monodentate cationic complexes.




Figure 2. X-ray crystal structures of complexes **4**, **5**, **6**, **7**, **8**²⁷ and **9** (counterions and solvent molecules omitted for clarity)

Table 1. Comparison of selected structural data of Cp*Ir complexes in the solid state.


<i>complex</i>	<i>Ir-L distances</i>	<i>L-Ir-L angles</i>
4	2.08 Å (C), 2.16 Å (N)	87.3°

5	2.16 Å	83.9°
6	2.06 Å	86.1°
7	2.05 Å	85.0°
8 ²⁷	2.02 Å	86.4°
9	2.04 Å	85.0°
10 ²⁸	2.10 Å	76.1°
11 ²⁶	2.02 Å (C), 2.10 Å (N)	85.4°
12 ³¹	2.11 Å	75.4°
13 ²⁹	2.06 Å (C), 2.10 Å (N)	78.8°
14 ³⁰	2.00 Å (NHC), 2.05 Å (aryl)	77.6°

In solution, both the pyridines and the minimally substituted IMe (1,3-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene) and TMe (1,4-dimethyl-1,2,4-triazol-5-ylidene) ligands were found to rotate freely around the L-M axis on the NMR timescale at room temperature even in the bis-ligated complexes **4-7**, indicating little steric hindrance. Variable-temperature ¹H NMR at 500 MHz in CD₂Cl₂ showed decoalescence of the IMe signals in **6** below 223 K, and decoalescence of the TMe in **7** below 233 K (see Supporting Information). In the mixed py/IMe complex **4** the IMe ligand peaks split below 248 K, while the pyridine ligand gave one set of sharp peaks down to 193 K (see Supporting Information).

Freshly purified complexes **1-14** were evaluated for activity in the transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone at 1 mol% [Ir] loading after 3 hours in refluxing ⁱPrOH (~82 °C) under N₂ (Scheme 2). Reactions were run in the presence of 10 mol% KOH, 2 mol% AgBF₄, or without any additional promoter. Conversions were determined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard.

Scheme 2. Transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone to *rac*-1-phenylethanol from excess *iso*-propanol.



As can be seen from Figures 3-5, conversion to product varied widely depending on the conditions applied and precatalyst used. With the assistance of 10 mol% KOH, most precatalysts afforded significant conversion except complexes **8**, **9**, **12**, and **14**. Interestingly, the simple $[\text{Cp}^*\text{IrCl}_2]_2$ precursor **1** outperformed many of the more sophisticated Cp^*Ir complexes, although **6** and **10** were clearly the most effective precatalysts under these conditions. Using Ag^+ as activating agent instead of a base gave lower conversions and different trends in activity. Complexes **4** and **5**, bearing a labile pyridine base, gave the best results of ~70 % conversion under these conditions. Attempted double-activation with KOH and AgBF_4 gave virtually zero activity for all complexes (data not shown). A black precipitate formed in all of these reactions, suggesting *in-situ* formation of Ag_2O that effectively removes both Ag^+ and OH^- from the solution. Without any additive, activities were very low (<5 %) for all precursors except **5** and **11** (~15% conversion), as expected for precursors with non-functional spectator ligands.




Figure 3. Activity of complexes **1-14** in the transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone (Scheme 2). Reaction conditions: 2 mmol substrate, 1 mol% [Ir], 10 mol% KOH, 3 mL *i*PrOH, reflux, N₂, 3 hours (¹H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard, triplicates).




Figure 4. Activity of complexes **1-14** in the transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone (Scheme 2). Reaction conditions: 2 mmol substrate, 1 mol% [Ir], 2 mol% AgBF₄, 3 mL *i*PrOH, reflux, N₂, 3 hours (¹H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard, duplicates).




Figure 5. Activity of complexes **1-14** in the transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone (Scheme 2). Reaction conditions: 2 mmol substrate, 1 mol% [Ir], 3 mL *i*PrOH, reflux, N₂, 3 hours (¹H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard, duplicates).

The base-assisted reactions were found to be sensitive to both oxygen and moisture. No conversion was observed when running the catalysis in air, and addition of 50 μ L water (~140 eq. with respect to [Ir]) under N₂ equally shut down all activity. The addition of activated 3 Å MS to the reaction did not improve performance either, however. In acidic aqueous media (10-100 eq. HOTf or HClO₄ in 1:1-10:1 *i*PrOH/H₂O) even the most active precursor **6** was completely inactivated, in contrast to aqueous hydrogen transfer reactions with Cp*Ir precatalysts using formate as the reductant, where optimum activity is typically observed in acidic media (pH~2 for NH₄COOH, pH~3 for NaCOOH).³²

As the base-assisted reactions were the most efficient, we examined the background reactivity of KOH alone under our conditions. Transfer-hydrogenations of aldehydes and ketones are known to be slowly catalyzed by simple alkali metal bases,³³⁻³⁵ and even alcohol alkylations can be achieved with base only.³⁶ Following activity over time showed that about 16 % phenylethanol was produced by 10 mol%

KOH after 3 hours at 80 °C (Figure 6), indicating that complexes **8**, **9**, **12**, **14** had effectively afforded zero activity (Figure 3). Lowering the temperature to 60 °C gave much lower base-catalyzed activity of only ~2 % after 4 hours, and at 40 °C no product could be observed by ^1H NMR even after 12 hours.




Figure 6. Background activity of KOH (10 mol%, semiconductor grade) in the transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone at different temperatures (2 mmol substrate, 3 mL $^i\text{PrOH}$, N_2 ; ^1H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard; lines drawn to guide the eye).

Next, some simple iridium compounds, including heterogeneous forms, were evaluated in the reaction to test for potential contributions from decomposition products of the Cp^*Ir precursors (Table 2). Numerous heterogeneous catalysts,³⁷ including IrO_2 ,³⁸ and various metal nanoparticles (NPs) are known to catalyze transfer-hydrogenations quite efficiently,³⁹⁻⁴⁴ and for some related iron and ruthenium complexes metallic NPs have recently been shown to contribute to catalytic activity.^{45,46} *In-situ* degradation of molecular precursors to give heterogeneous material as the true active species is a persistent ambiguity in homogeneous catalysis often responsible for misleading ligand effects.⁴⁷

Table 2. Activity of various iridium compounds in the transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone (Scheme 2).^a

<i>precatalyst</i>	<i>with KOH</i>	<i>no additive</i>
IrCl ₃ × 3 H ₂ O	81 ± 2 %	7 ± 1 %
IrO ₂ (powder) ^b	21 ± 3 %	0 %
Ir/C (0.5 wt%) ^b	29 ± 11 %	0 %
2-3 nm Ir ⁰ NPs ⁴⁸	94 ± 6 %	4 ± 1 %

^a 2 mmol substrate, 1 mol% [Ir], 3 mL ⁱPrOH, reflux, N₂, 3 hours (¹H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard, duplicates). ^b dried at 80 °C in vacuo for at least 3 hours prior to use.

As expected from the early work of Mitchell and Henbest,⁹ IrCl₃ hydrate afforded good activity with >80 % conversion in 3 hours, surpassing many of the Cp*Ir precursors under identical conditions (cf. Figure 3). While IrO₂ and iridium on carbon barely added to the background activity of KOH, iridium(0) nanoparticles synthesized *in-situ*⁴⁸ prior to catalysis were highly active in the presence of base (Table 2). These findings might at first appear indicative of Ir NPs being the true catalyst in all cases, with the activity trends merely reflecting the ease of reductive *in-situ* decomposition. We therefore ran reactions with Ir NPs, IrCl₃, and **6** in the presence of excess Hg to selectively poison heterogeneous forms of metallic iridium⁴⁹ (Table 3). While the preformed NPs were effectively deactivated by Hg, both IrCl₃ and **6** displayed virtually unperturbed activity in its presence, strongly suggesting genuine solution-phase catalysis in case of the molecular precursors.⁵⁰

Table 3. Mercury poisoning test of different iridium precatalysts in the transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone (Scheme 2).^a

<i>precatalyst</i>	<i>no Hg</i>	<i>with Hg</i>
6	99 ± 1 %	98 ± 1 %
IrCl ₃ × 3 H ₂ O	39 ± 1 %	37 ± 1 %
2-3 nm Ir NPs ⁴⁸	64 ± 1 %	5 ± 1 %

^a 2 mmol substrate, 1 mol% [Ir], 10 mol% KOH, 3 mL ⁱPrOH, 60 °C, N₂, 3 hours (¹H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard).

After having subtracted background reactivity of the base and provided evidence against *in-situ* decomposition into heterogeneous catalysts, we can discuss the activities of the most active precursors IrCl₃, **1** – **6**, and **10** in comparison with the less active ones. Clearly, both IMe and pyridine are good supporting ligands for Cp*Ir transfer-hydrogenation catalysts, but no obvious trends regarding ligand denticity, sterics, or electronics are apparent from this data set collected under strictly comparable conditions. For example, linking the two pyridines in **5** to give a bipy ligand in **10** slightly increases catalyst activity, whereas the same strategy has a much opposed effect in the case of two IMe in **6** versus **8** or one IMe and one pyridine as in **4** versus **11**. Also, going from IMe to TMe, a subtle change in electronics,⁵¹ dramatically decreases catalyst activity in **7** as compared to **6**. Further decreasing the ligand donor power by moving to **5** and **10** gave intermediate activity. Only one discernible trend emerged: assuming **12** was deprotonated under reaction conditions, one could conclude that LX-type chelate ligands are inferior to LL-type combinations.

In view of the surprisingly high performance of the unlinked bis-NHC complex **6**, we monitored the reaction progress of IrCl₃, **1**, **3**, and **6** by *in-situ* ¹H NMR at lower temperature to compare the effects of

introduction of the Cp* and IMe ligands (Figure 7). At 60 °C, IrCl₃ was barely active (20 % conversion after 3 hours), but introducing the Cp* ligand (**1**) caused a marked increase in activity (70 % conversion after 3 hours). Further introduction of one IMe ligand to the Cp*Ir fragment (**3**) decreased activity again, whereas introduction of the second IMe ligand (**6**) boosted catalyst activity to afford full conversion in less than 2 hours. This striking difference in performance of **3** and **6** demonstrates that both monodentate IMe ligands in **6** are needed for efficient transfer-hydrogenation catalysis and suggests their retention during turnover.












Figure 7. Activity of different iridium precatalysts in the transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone (Scheme 2). Reaction conditions: 0.67 mmol substrate, 1 mol% [Ir], 10 mol% KOH, 1 mL *i*PrOH, 60 °C, N₂ (*in-situ* ¹H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard).

We then further explored the transfer-hydrogenation scope of **6**. At 1 mol% loading, full conversion of various aromatic and aliphatic ketones was achieved within 3 hours at 60 °C (Table 4). α,β -unsaturated ketones (entries 11 & 12) were found to be completely reduced to the saturated alcohols with >90 % conversion. In refluxing *iso*-propanol, **6** still afforded activity at 0.01 mol% loading to reach turnover numbers beyond 3000 after 6 hours (entries 3 & 6).

Table 4. Performance of precatalyst **6** at different loadings in the transfer-hydrogenation of various substrates from ⁱPrOH.^a

<i>entry</i>	<i>mol% of 6</i>	<i>substrate</i>	<i>conversion</i>	<i>TON</i>
1 ^b	1.0		99 ± 1 %	99 ± 1
2	0.1		99 ± 1 %	990 ± 10
3 ^c	0.01		31 ± 1 %	3100 ± 100
4 ^b	1.0		99 ± 1 %	99 ± 1
5	0.1		99 ± 1 %	990 ± 10
6 ^c	0.01		23 ± 1 %	2300 ± 100
7 ^b	1.0		99 ± 1 %	99 ± 1
8 ^b	1.0		99 ± 1 %	99 ± 1
9 ^b	1.0		99 ± 1 %	99 ± 1
10 ^b	1.0		99 ± 1 %	99 ± 1
11 ^b	1.0		94 ± 1 % ^d	94 ± 1 (×2) ^d
12 ^b	1.0		95 ± 1 % ^d	95 ± 1 (×2) ^d

^a 2 mmol substrate, 10 mol% KOH, 3 mL ⁱPrOH, reflux, N₂, 3 hours (¹H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard). ^b at 60 °C. ^c after 6 hours. ^d complete reduction to saturated alcohol.

In search of a rationale for the observed ligand effects, the kinetics of the acetophenone reduction with **6** were investigated. Variation of the KOH loading with 1 mol% [Ir] at 60 °C showed that the rate was clearly base-dependent with slower turnover at lower base loading (Figure 8), but due to the sigmoidal shape of the reaction profile, kinetic analysis with respect to the order in base could not be performed. As before (Figure 7), a non-productive lag phase of several minutes was always observed which was virtually unaffected by the amount of KOH present. Nevertheless, high conversions were still reached after longer reaction times at lower base loading, which may be beneficial for the reduction of sensitive substrates where time is less important.




Figure 8. Activity of **6** in the transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone (Scheme 2) at various loadings of KOH. Reaction conditions: 2 mmol substrate, 1 mol% [Ir], 3 mL *i*PrOH, 60 °C, N₂ (¹H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard; lines drawn to guide the eye).

Varying the concentration of **6** at a fixed KOH loading of 10 mol% showed that both the length of the non-productive lag phase and the rate of acetophenone reduction were strongly dependent on the iridium concentration (Figure 9).





Figure 9. Lag phases (stars, right axis) and approximate initial rates (diamonds, left axis) of the transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone (Scheme 2) by **6** at various catalyst loadings. Reaction conditions: 0.67 mmol substrate, 10 mol% KOH, 1 mL ⁱPrOH, 60 °C, N₂ (*in-situ* ¹H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard; lines drawn to guide the eye).

The lag phase dependence on [Ir] cleanly followed second order kinetics with an apparent rate constant of $k_{obs} = 61 \mu\text{M}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$, suggesting a pre-catalytic activation step involving two iridium centers (Figure 10). The rates at the onset of catalysis, which could be estimated at 10 mol% base (cf. Figure 8), showed two distinct kinetic regimes. Below $\sim 4 \mu\text{M}$ [Ir], the initial rate of acetophenone reduction after the lag phase was clearly dependent on [Ir], whereas at higher concentrations an apparent zero-order regime prevailed. Limiting base/Ir ratios were ruled out by testing catalysis at $6.7 \mu\text{M}$ **6** (= 1 mol%) with 25 mol% base instead of the usual 10 mol% (as in Figures 7 and 8), which gave no further increase in the rate of acetophenone reduction. Therefore, we suspect an [Ir]-dependent catalyst deactivation process after pre-activation to be responsible for the apparent rate saturation above $\sim 4 \mu\text{M}$ [Ir].⁵² With such complex kinetics it is not surprising that our understanding of ligand effects in Cp*Ir precatalysts for these transformations is still so limited despite their extensive use.





Figure 10. Second-order dependence of the duration of non-productive lag phases in the transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone (Scheme 2) by **6** on iridium concentration. Reaction conditions: 0.67 mmol substrate, 10 mol% KOH, 1 mL i PrOH, 60 °C, N_2 (*in-situ* ^1H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard).


The commonly accepted mechanism of base-assisted transfer-hydrogenations from *iso*-propanol with Cp^*Ir complexes follows the so-called ‘monohydride’ route, in which the base shuttles the protic hydrogens between the alkoxides and the iridium catalyst delivers the hydrides from the carbinol to the carbonyl carbons (Scheme 3).²³

Scheme 3. Commonly accepted hydrogen delivery scheme in the monohydride mechanism of base-assisted transfer-hydrogenation catalysis.²³



Accordingly, Cp*Ir-monohydride complexes are considered key intermediates in these reactions.^{18,23} We thus synthesized the corresponding monohydride complex of **6** by reaction with sodium borohydride, which gave the desired compound **6-H** as colorless solid in high yield (Scheme 4). The complex was found to be air and moisture stable and inert towards reductive elimination of HMe⁺ or halide exchange with chlorinated solvents at ambient temperature.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of monohydride complex **6-H** (see Experimental Section for details).



The ¹H NMR resonance of the terminal hydride in **6-H** at -16.24 ppm (in CD₂Cl₂) lies in the expected range by comparison with similar complexes reported previously.⁵³⁻⁵⁶ Using the same reaction protocol with complex **7**, only traces of the putative hydride **7-H** could be detected by ¹H NMR at -15.74 ppm in the crude reaction mixture and no stable product could be isolated. Complex **8**, however, successfully yielded the stable monohydride derivative **8-H** (-15.98 ppm)²⁷ after silver-assisted iodide abstraction (see Experimental Section). Both **6-H** and **8-H** proved active in base-assisted transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone (Table 5), in contrast to [Cp*Ir(NHC)(H)₂] (-16.9 ppm) and [Cp*Ir(NHC)(μ-H)]₂⁺⁺ (-17.4 ppm) complexes, which have been reported to be inactive and thus suspected relevant to catalyst deactivation pathways.¹⁸ The inner-sphere chloride version **8(Cl)** of the iodide complex **8** was also prepared²⁷ for direct comparison with the chloride complex **6** and their corresponding hydrides. As can be seen from Table 5, the more strongly binding iodide had only a small inhibiting effect on activity in transfer hydrogenation catalysis.


Table 5. Comparison of halide and monohydride complexes in the transfer-hydrogenation of acetophenone (Scheme 2).^a

<i>precatalyst</i>	<i>conditions</i>	<i>conversion</i>
6(Cl)	60 °C, 1 hour	78 ± 1 %
6-H		56 ± 1 %
8(I)	reflux, 3 hours	18 ± 2 %
8(Cl)		43 ± 1 %
8-H		73 ± 1 %

^a 2 mmol substrate, 1 mol% [Ir], 10 mol% KOH, 3 mL ⁱPrOH, N₂, (¹H NMR analysis with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard).

Although this simple activity comparison of halide versus monohydride complexes in case of **6** and **8** did not allow to comment on their role in the catalytic cycle, it is worth mentioning that the monohydrides **10-H** (-11.80 ppm)⁵⁷ and **13-H** (-14.98 ppm)⁵⁸ are also known to be stable but only **10-H** has been reported to be active in transfer-hydrogenation catalysis.⁵⁷ Bäckvall's test for monohydride pathways was then applied by following acetophenone reduction from 99 % (CD₃)₂CD-OH by ¹H NMR using **6** and **6-H** to quantify the degree of H/D scrambling during hydrogen transfer (Scheme 5).²²

Scheme 5. Bäckvall's H/D scrambling test to probe the number of hydrides in the active species of transfer-hydrogenation (cf. Scheme 3).²² Note that kinetic isotope effects may lead to > 50 % D transfer to the carbinol in the polyhydride mechanism, but would not affect the selectivity of the monohydride mechanism.⁵⁹



At 1 mol% [Ir] with 10 mol% KOH at 60 °C, the chloride complex **6** incorporated 75 % D at the carbinol of phenylethanol, whereas for the hydride **6-H** 95 % D incorporation at the same position were seen by *in-situ* ¹H NMR. In both cases, the levels of product deuteration remained constant throughout the reaction up to 90 % conversion as quantified against 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard (see Experimental Section for details). Thus, while **6-H** gave products consistent with the classic monohydride route, **6** gave an inconclusive result as to the number of metal hydrides involved, suggesting that **6-H** may not be the only possible hydride intermediate in catalysis with **6**.

CONCLUSION

We have synthesized and characterized a number of new Cp*Ir complexes bearing combinations of pyridine and NHC ligands, and compared their activity in transfer-hydrogenation catalysis to structurally related literature compounds under various conditions. Contributions from the base and potential heterogeneous forms of iridium have been examined separately, and mercury poisoning experiments showed the Cp*Ir systems to be homogeneous. Complex **6** bearing two monodentate IMe ligands emerged as the most active precatalyst for the reduction of acetophenone from *iso*-propanol in the presence of KOH, surprisingly superior to its chelating bis-NHC congener. At lower temperatures of 60° C, **6** reduces a variety of ketone substrates with full conversion within two hours, reaching TONs >3000 at 0.01 mol% loading. Reaction progress monitoring in comparison with the mono-NHC complex **3** showed that both NHCs are needed for efficient catalysis. The kinetics of the catalysis with **6** were complex, showing a bimolecular activation period followed by base-dependent hydrogen-transfer catalysis with an apparent rate saturation at [Ir] concentrations >4 μM. A stable monohydride derivative of **6** has been synthesized which is active in the reaction, but H/D scrambling data suggest that it may not be the only intermediate in the catalytic cycle. Although the mechanistic reason for the superiority of **6** and the role of the monohydride in catalysis remain to be examined further in a following report, the present work provides important insight into this basic transformation and sheds some light on ligand effects in these widely used Cp*Ir precatalysts.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General. Organic solvents were purified by passing over activated alumina with dry N₂. All chemicals were purchased from major commercial suppliers and used as received. Syntheses were performed under an inert atmosphere of dry N₂ using standard Schlenk techniques. NMR spectra were recorded on either 400 MHz or 500 MHz Bruker Avance spectrometers and referenced to residual protio-solvent signals. The chemical shift δ is reported in units of parts per million (ppm). MS analyses were performed by the Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics Resource of the W.M. Keck Foundation Biotechnology Resource Laboratory at Yale University. [1,3-dimethylimidazolium]BF₄ and [1,4-dimethyl-1,2,4-triazolium]BF₄,⁶⁰ [Cp*IrCl₂]₂ (**1**),⁶¹ [Cp*Ir(Ime)Cl₂] (**3**),²⁶ [Cp*Ir(bis-Ime)I]PF₆ (**8**),²⁷ [Cp*Ir(bis-TMe)I]PF₆ (**9**),⁶² [Cp*Ir(bipy)Cl]BF₄ (**10**),²⁸ *rac*-[Cp*Ir(py-Ime)Cl]BF₄ (**11**),²⁶ [Cp*Ir(biim)Cl]BF₄ (**12**),³¹ *rac*-[Cp*Ir(beat)Cl] (**13**),²⁹ and *rac*-[Cp*Ir(phe-IPh)Cl] (**14**)³⁰ were synthesized via slightly modified literature procedures. Single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained by diffusion of Et₂O into concentrated CH₂Cl₂ solutions at room temperature. Details of the X-ray diffraction experiments can be found in the Supporting Information.

[(η^5 -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)Ir^{III}(κ N-pyridine)Cl₂] (2**).** [Cp*IrCl₂]₂ (120 mg, 0.15 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and pyridine (24 mg, 0.3 mmol) added, causing an immediate color change from orange to yellow. After stirring at room temperature for 15 minutes the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, yielding a yellow-orange powder that did not change color upon drying *in vacuo*. Yield = 140 mg (98 %). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 8.92 (dd, *J* = 6.5 Hz, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 2H, *o*-CH^{py}), 7.77 (tt, *J* = 7.7 Hz, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H, *p*-CH^{py}), 7.37 (ddd, *J* = 7.7 Hz, *J* = 6.5 Hz, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 2H, *m*-CH^{py}), 1.49 (s, 15H, C₅(CH₃)₅). ¹³C {¹H}-NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 153.7 (s, *o*-CH^{py}), 138.3 (s, *p*-CH^{py}), 125.9 (s, *m*-CH^{py}), 86.1 (s, C₅(CH₃)₅), 8.6 (s, C₅(CH₃)₅). ESI(+)MS calcd for C₁₅H₂₀ClIrN⁺ (**2** - Cl): 440.089, 442.091. Found: *m/z* = 440.318, 442.313.

***rac*-[(η^5 -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)Ir^{III}(κ C-1,3-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene)(κ N-pyridine)Cl]BF₄ (4).** [Cp*Ir(Ime)Cl₂] (3, 99 mg, 0.2 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and pyridine (19 mg, 0.24 mmol) added. Upon addition of AgBF₄ (39 mg, 0.2 mmol) in MeOH (1 mL) the mixture turned bright yellow with immediate precipitation of a colorless solid. After stirring at room temperature for one hour the solid was filtered off, discarded, and the clear solution dried *in vacuo* to leave a yellow solid. Recrystallization from acetone/Et₂O gave yellow microcrystals. Yield = 106 mg (85 %). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 8.46 (d, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 2H, *o*-CH^{py}), 7.93 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H, *p*-CH^{py}), 7.40 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H, *m*-CH^{py}), 7.13 (s, 2H, NCHCHN), 3.51 (bs, 6H, NCH₃), 1.66 (s, 15H, C₅(CH₃)₅). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 155.0 (s, *o*-CH^{py}), 154.4 (s, NCN), 139.2 (s, *p*-CH^{py}), 127.3 (s, *m*-CH^{py}), 125.0 (s, NCHCHN), 91.9 (s, C₅(CH₃)₅), 38.2 (s, NCH₃), 9.5 (s, C₅(CH₃)₅). ESI(+)MS calcd for C₁₅H₂₃ClIrN₂⁺ (4 - BF₄ - pyridine): 457.115, 459.117. Found: *m/z* = 457.351, 459.351. Crystal data [CCDC-961097]: C₂₀H₂₈BClF₄IrN₃ (4), *M* = 624.941, monoclinic, P2₁/c, *a* = 8.9512(10) Å, *b* = 24.437(3) Å, *c* = 10.4956(11) Å, β = 95.799(7)°, *V* = 2284.1(4) Å³, *Z* = 4, *d*_{calc} = 1.817 g/cm³, *T* = 223 K, 21642 reflections collected, 5195 independent reflections (*R*_{int} = 0.0747), final *R*₁ = 0.0490, final *wR*₂ = 0.0567, GOF = 1.066.

[(η^5 -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)Ir^{III}bis-(κ N-pyridine)Cl]BF₄ (5). [Cp*IrCl₂]₂ (80 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and pyridine (32 mg, 0.4 mmol) added, causing an immediate color change from orange to yellow. Upon addition of AgBF₄ (39 mg, 0.2 mmol) in MeOH (1 mL) a colorless solid precipitated instantly. After stirring at room temperature for 15 minutes the solid was filtered off, discarded, and the clear solution dried *in vacuo* to leave a yellow solid. Recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O gave bright yellow microcrystals. Yield = 108 mg (89 %). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 8.95 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 4H, *o*-CH^{py}), 7.91 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H, *p*-CH^{py}), 7.54 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 4H, *m*-CH^{py}), 1.49 (s, 15H, C₅(CH₃)₅). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 153.3 (s, *o*-CH^{py}), 139.9 (s, *p*-CH^{py}), 127.5 (s, *m*-CH^{py}), 89.0 (s, C₅(CH₃)₅), 8.6 (s, C₅(CH₃)₅). ESI(+)MS calcd for C₁₅H₂₀ClIrN⁺ (5 - BF₄ - pyridine): 440.089, 442.091. Found: *m/z* = 440.081, 442.082. Crystal data [CCDC-961098]:

$\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{25}\text{BClF}_4\text{IrN}_2$ (**5**), $M = 607.910$, monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, $a = 9.2867(17) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 14.334(3) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 16.829(3) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 90.266(5)^\circ$, $V = 2240.1(7) \text{ \AA}^3$, $Z = 4$, $d_{\text{calc}} = 1.802 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $T = 223 \text{ K}$, 14993 reflections collected, 4952 independent reflections ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0374$), final $R_1 = 0.0336$, final $wR_2 = 0.0661$, GOF = 1.083.

$[(\eta^5\text{-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl})\text{Ir}^{\text{III}}\text{bis-(}\kappa\text{C-1,3-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene)Cl}]\text{BF}_4$ (6).

$[\text{Ag}(\text{IMe})_2]\text{BF}_4$ was prepared as a colorless crystalline solid from $[1,3\text{-dimethylimidazolium}]\text{BF}_4$, NaOH, and Ag_2O as described previously.²⁵ $[\text{Cp}^*\text{IrCl}_2]_2$ (159 mg, 0.2 mmol) and $[\text{Ag}(\text{IMe})_2]\text{BF}_4$ (155 mg, 0.4 mmol) were dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL), and the resulting orange suspension stirred for one hour at room temperature. The colorless precipitate was filtered off, the solution concentrated to ~ 4 mL under reduced pressure, and filtered again through 0.2 μm pore size Teflon filter. Addition of Et_2O (15 mL) caused precipitation of a yellow-orange powder, which was collected and dried *in vacuo*. Yield = 234 mg (91 %). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2): $\delta = 7.08$ (s, 4H, NCHCHN), 3.44 (s, 12H, NCH_3), 1.64 (s, 15H, $\text{C}_5(\text{CH}_3)_5$). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}\text{-NMR}$ (100 MHz, CD_2Cl_2): $\delta = 147.5$ (s, NCN), 124.4 (s, NCHCHN), 96.1 (s, $\text{C}_5(\text{CH}_3)_5$), 38.6 (s, NCH_3), 10.6 (s, $\text{C}_5(\text{CH}_3)_5$). ESI(+)MS calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{31}\text{ClIrN}_4^+$ (**6** - BF_4): 553.184, 555.186. Found: $m/z = 553.117$, 555.126. Crystal data [CCDC-961595]: $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{31}\text{BClF}_4\text{IrN}_4$ (**6**), $M = 641.972$, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, $a = 9.6053(10) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 17.4146(17) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 14.7645(15) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 102.613(3)^\circ$, $V = 2410.1(4) \text{ \AA}^3$, $Z = 4$, $d_{\text{calc}} = 1.769 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $T = 223 \text{ K}$, 24506 reflections collected, 5484 independent reflections ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0397$), final $R_1 = 0.0405$, final $wR_2 = 0.0834$, GOF = 1.075.

$[(\eta^5\text{-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl})\text{Ir}^{\text{III}}\text{bis-(}\kappa\text{C-1,4-dimethyl-1,2,4-triazol-5-ylidene)Cl}]\text{BF}_4$ (7).

$[\text{Ag}(\text{TMe})_2]\text{BF}_4$ was prepared as a colorless crystalline solid from $[1,4\text{-dimethyl-1,2,4-triazolium}]\text{BF}_4$, NaOH, and Ag_2O as described previously for imidazole-2-ylidenes.²⁵ $[\text{Cp}^*\text{IrCl}_2]_2$ (80 mg, 0.1 mmol) and $[\text{Ag}(\text{TMe})_2]\text{BF}_4$ (78 mg, 0.2 mmol) were dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL), and the resulting orange suspension stirred for six hours at room temperature. The colorless precipitate was filtered off, the solution concentrated to ~ 2 mL under reduced pressure, and filtered again through 0.2 μm pore size

Teflon filter. Addition of Et₂O (10 mL) caused precipitation of a yellow-orange powder, which was collected and dried *in vacuo*. Yield = 113 mg (88 %). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 8.22 (s, 2H, NCHN), 3.85 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 3.40 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 1.70 (s, 15H, C₅(CH₃)₅). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (125 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 151.4 (s, NCN), 145.7 (s, NCHN), 96.5 (s, C₅(CH₃)₅), 40.3 (s, NCH₃), 35.5 (s, NCH₃), 10.1 (s, C₅(CH₃)₅). ESI(+)MS calcd for C₁₈H₂₉ClIrN₆⁺ (7 - BF₄): 555.174, 557.177. Found: m/z = 555.411, 557.399. Crystal data [CCDC-961099]: C₂₀H₂₀BClF₄IrN₆ (7), M = 658.898, triclinic, P-1, *a* = 9.5222(17) Å, *b* = 10.0943(18) Å, *c* = 12.105(2) Å, α = 88.626(5)°, β = 81.821(5)°, γ = 87.868(5)°, V = 1150.7(4) Å³, Z = 2, d_{calc} = 1.902 g/cm³, T = 223 K, 11267 reflections collected, 4849 independent reflections (*R*_{int} = 0.0367), final *R*₁ = 0.0410, final *wR*₂ = 0.0710, GOF = 1.155.

[(η⁵-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)Ir^{III}bis-(κC-1,3-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene)H]BF₄ (6-H). 6 (128 mg, 0.2 mmol) and NaBH₄ (76 mg, 2 mmol) were placed in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N₂ and dry *iso*-propanol (10 mL) was added. The mixture was sonicated for five minutes and then stirred for another hour at room temperature. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to leave a beige solid, which was dried further *in vacuo*. The residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL), the extract filtered through 0.2 μm pore size Teflon filter, and the pale yellow solution reduced to ~3 mL volume under reduced pressure. Addition of Et₂O (10 mL) caused precipitation of a very fine colorless solid, which was collected, washed with Et₂O (4 mL), and dried *in vacuo*. Yield = 110 mg (91 %). ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 7.02 (s, 4H, NCHCHN), 3.54 (s, 12 H, NCH₃), 1.93 (s, 15H, C₅(CH₃)₅), -16.24 (s, 1H, IrH). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (125 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 149.9 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, NCN), 121.9 (s, NCHCHN), 92.8 (s, C₅(CH₃)₅), 39.1 (s, NCH₃), 10.5 (s, C₅(CH₃)₅). ESI(+)MS calcd for C₂₀H₃₂IrN₄⁺ (6-H - BF₄): 519.223, 521.225. Found: m/z = 519.219, 521.223.

[(η⁵-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)Ir^{III}(κC,κC'-1,1'-methylene-bis(3-methylimidazolidin-2-ylidene))H]BF₄ (8-H). This compound has previously been obtained via a different synthetic route.²⁷ **8** (78 mg, 0.1 mmol) and AgPF₆ (26 mg, 0.11 mmol) placed in a flame-dried Schlenk flask under N₂ and

MeCN added (3 mL). NaBH₄ (38 mg, 1 mmol) and iso-propanol were then added, and the mixture stirred at 50 °C for two hours. After cooling to room temperature the mixture was filtered, and the pale yellow solution taken to dryness *in vacuo*. The solid residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL) and filtered through 0.2 µm pore size Teflon filter. Addition of Et₂O (12 mL) caused precipitation of a pale yellow powder, which was collected, washed with Et₂O (3 mL), and dried *in vacuo*. Yield = 39 mg (60 %). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 7.36 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 2H, NCHCHN), 6.99 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 2H, NCHCHN), 6.15 (d, *J* = 12.7 Hz, 1H, NCH₂N), 5.36 (d, *J* = 12.7 Hz, 1H, NCH₂N), 3.57 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.00 (s, 15H, C₅(CH₃)₅), -15.98 (s, 1H, IrH).

Iridium(0) Nanoparticles. 2-3 nm Ir NPs were prepared using an adaption of a reported method.⁴⁸ [(coe)₂IrCl]₂ (9 mg, 0.01 mmol) in dry iso-propanol (3 mL) was stirred under an atmosphere of H₂ at 60 °C until a greyish solution was obtained (15-30 minutes). At this point the atmosphere was exchanged to N₂, and the solution directly used for transfer-hydrogenation catalysis.

Catalysis. All complexes used in catalysis were freshly purified prior to use. Complexes **8**²⁷ and **9**⁶² were purified by recrystallization from chloroform at -78 °C, and complex **14**³⁰ by column chromatography as described in the original publications. All others were purified by dissolution in a minimum amount of CH₂Cl₂, filtration through hydrophobic 0.2 µm Teflon filter, and recrystallization by addition of Et₂O as described in the synthesis part. Catalytic runs were performed by charging a flame-dried Schlenk flask with 20 µmol Ir-complex and 0.2 mmol 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (TMB) as internal standard under N₂ and adding 2 mL dry *iso*-propanol. After heating to the desired reaction temperature liquid substrate (2 mmol acetophenone) and base (0.2 mmol KOH, semiconductor grade) were added in 1 mL dry *iso*-propanol to start the reaction. Aliquots were withdrawn by syringe and quenched by mixing with CDCl₃ in air at room temperature. After filtration through celite the solution was analyzed for conversion by ¹H NMR. *In-situ* NMR reaction progress monitoring was performed in screw-capped 5 mm tubes, charged with solid iridium complex (0.007 mmol) and 1,3,5-

trimethoxybenzene (0.067 mmol) under N₂. A solution of KOH (0.067 mmol) in dry *iso*-propanol (1 mL) was added, followed by acetophenone (78 μ L, 0.67 mmol). The NMR tube was sonicated for 30 seconds, placed in the NMR spectrometer with the probe preheated to 60 °C, and ¹H NMR spectra acquired every 2 minutes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based in part upon work supported by the Center for Catalytic Hydrocarbon Functionalization, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Award Number DE-SC0001298 (R.H.C. and U.H.), by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences catalysis award (DE-FG02-84ER13297, J.C. and N.D.S.), and U.S. DoE Award 1043588 (T.P.B.). U.H. thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a Feodor Lynen Research Fellowship, supplemented by a grant from the Yale Institute for Nanoscience and Quantum Engineering, and the Centre for Sustainable Chemical Technologies at the University of Bath for a Whorrod Research Fellowship. We thank Chris Incarvito (CBIC Yale) for help with X-ray crystallography and Mike Whittlesey (Bath) for valuable discussions.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION AVAILABLE

Details of crystallographic analyses, CIF files, original NMR spectra of all compounds tested in catalysis, and variable-temperature NMR data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

REFERENCES

- (1) Klomp, D.; Hanefeld, U.; Peters, J. A. In *The Handbook of Homogeneous Hydrogenation*; Vries, J. G. d., Elsevier, C. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, **2007**; Vol. 3, p 585-630.
- (2) Bullock, R. M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2004**, *10*, 2366-2374.
- (3) Guillena, G.; Ramón, D. J.; Yus, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 2358-2364.
- (4) Nixon, T. D.; Whittlesey, M. K.; Williams, J. M. J. *Dalton Trans.* **2009**, 753-762.
- (5) Dobereiner, G. E.; Crabtree, R. H. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *110*, 681-703.
- (6) Watson, A. J. A.; Williams, J. M. J. *Science* **2010**, *329*, 635-636.
- (7) Haddad, Y. M. Y.; Henbest, H. B.; Husbands, J.; Mitchell, T. R. B. *Proc. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 361.
- (8) Trocha-Grimshaw, J.; Henbest, H. B. *Chem. Commun.* **1967**, 544.
- (9) Henbest, H. B.; Mitchell, T. R. B. *J. Chem. Soc. C* **1970**, 785-791.
- (10) Camus, A.; Mestroni, G.; Zassinovich, G. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1979**, *6*, 231-233.
- (11) Suzuki, T. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1825-1845.
- (12) Murata, K.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 2186-2187.
- (13) Fujita, K.-i.; Yamaguchi, R. *Synlett* **2005**, *4*, 560-571.
- (14) Zassinovich, G.; Mestroni, G.; Gladiali, S. *Chem. Rev.* **1992**, *92*, 1051-1069.
- (15) Palmer, M. J.; Wills, M. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1999**, *10*, 2045-2061.
- (16) Ikariya, T.; Blacker, A. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 1300-1308.
- (17) Saidi, O.; Williams, J. M. J. *Top. Organomet. Chem.* **2011**, *34*, 77-106.
- (18) Hanasaka, F.; Fujita, K.-i.; Yamaguchi, R. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 3422-3433.
- (19) Corberán, R.; Peris, E. *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 1954-1958.
- (20) Gnanamgari, D.; Sauer, E. L. O.; Schley, N. D.; Butler, C.; Incarvito, C. D.; Crabtree, R. H. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 321-325.
- (21) Berliner, M. A.; Dubant, S. p. P. A.; Makowski, T.; Ng, K.; Sitter, B.; Wager, C.; Zhang, Y. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2011**, *15*, 1052-1062.
- (22) Pàmies, O.; Bäckvall, J.-E. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2001**, *7*, 5052-5058.
- (23) Samec, J. S. M.; Backvall, J.-E.; Andersson, P. G.; Brandt, P. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2006**, *35*, 237-248.
- (24) Cp*Ir complexes bearing functional Noyori-type ligands have been investigated more thoroughly (see for example: Arita S., Koike T., Kayaki Y., Ikariya T., *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 2795-2802; Pannetier N., Sortais J-B., Issenhut J-T., Barloy L., Sirlin C., Holuigue A., Lefort L., Panella L., de Vries J. G., Pfeffer M., *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2011**, *353*, 2844-2852; Cross W. B., Daly C. G., Boutadla Y., Singh K., *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, *40*, 9722-9730; O W. W. N., Lough A. J., Morris R. H., *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 2152-2165).
- (25) Hintermair, U.; Englert, U.; Leitner, W. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 3726-3731.
- (26) Xiao, X.-Q.; Jin, G.-X. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2008**, *693*, 3363-3368.
- (27) Vogt, M.; Pons, V.; Heinekey, D. M. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 1832-1836.
- (28) Ziessel, R. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1988**, 16-17.
- (29) Li, L.; Brennessel, W. W.; Jones, W. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 12414-12419.
- (30) Brewster, T. P.; Blakemore, J. D.; Schley, N. D.; Incarvito, C. D.; Hazari, N.; Brudvig, G. W.; Crabtree, R. H. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 965-973.
- (31) Ziessel, R.; Youinou, M.-T.; Balegroune, F.; Grandjean, D. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, *441*, 143-154.

- (32) Robertson, A.; Matsumoto, T.; Ogo, S. *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, 40, 10304-10310.
- (33) Polshettiwar, V.; Varma, R. S. *Green Chem.* **2009**, 11, 1313-1316.
- (34) Ouali, A.; Majoral, J.-P.; Caminade, A.-M.; Taillefer, M. *ChemCatChem* **2009**, 1, 504-509.
- (35) Miecznikowski, J. R.; Crabtree, R. H. *Organometallics* **2004**, 23, 629-631.
- (36) Allen, L. J.; Crabtree, R. H. *Green Chem.* **2010**, 12, 1362-1364.
- (37) Johnstone, R. A. W.; Wilby, A. H.; Entwistle, I. D. *Chem. Rev.* **1985**, 85, 129-170.
- (38) Hammond, C.; Schümperli, M. T.; Conrad, S.; Hermans, I. *ChemCatChem* **2013**, 5, 2983-2990.
- (39) Kantam, M. L.; Reddy, R. S.; Pal, U.; Sreedhar, B.; Bhargava, S. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2008**, 350, 2231-2235.
- (40) Alonso, F.; Riente, P.; Yus, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, 49, 1939-1942.
- (41) Verho, O.; Nagendiran, A.; Johnston, E. V.; Tai, C.-w.; Bäckvall, J.-E. *ChemCatChem* **2013**, 5, 612-618.
- (42) Alonso, F.; Riente, P.; Rodríguez-Reinoso, F.; Ruiz-Martínez, J.; Sepúlveda-Escribano, A.; Yus, M. *J. Catal.* **2008**, 260, 113-118.
- (43) Subramanian, T.; Pitchumani, K. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, 2, 296-300.
- (44) Su, F.-Z.; He, L.; Ni, J.; Cao, Y.; He, H.-Y.; Fan, K.-N. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 3531-3533.
- (45) Sonnenberg, J. F.; Coombs, N.; Dube, P. A.; Morris, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134, 5893-5899.
- (46) Toubiana, J.; Sasson, Y. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, 2, 1644-1653.
- (47) Crabtree, R. H. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, 112, 1536-1554.
- (48) Fonseca, G. S.; Scholten, J. D.; Dupont, J. *Synlett* **2004**, 2004, 1525-1528.
- (49) Foley, P.; DiCosimo, R.; Whitesides, G. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, 102, 6713-6725.
- (50) Widegren, J. A.; Finke, R. G. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2003**, 198, 317-341.
- (51) Dröge, T.; Glorius, F. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, 49, 6940-6952.
- (52) As we observed the formation of a colorless precipitate under reaction conditions we suspect a solubility limitation of the active species to cause the observed saturation. Unfortunately, these in-situ formed solids eluded characterization as they quickly changed color upon attempted isolation.
- (53) Gilbert, T. M.; Bergman, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, 107, 3502-3507.
- (54) Glueck, D. S.; Winslow, L. J. N.; Bergman, R. G. *Organometallics* **1991**, 10, 1462-1479.
- (55) Pons, V.; Heinekey, D. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 8428-8429.
- (56) Campos, J.; López-Serrano, J.; Álvarez, E.; Carmona, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134, 7165-7175.
- (57) Abura, T.; Ogo, S.; Watanabe, Y.; Fukuzumi, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 4149-4154.
- (58) Hu, Y.; Li, L.; Shaw, A. P.; Norton, J. R.; Sattler, W.; Rong, Y. *Organometallics* **2012**, 31, 5058-5064.
- (59) Furthermore, the test assumes that active monohydride intermediates do not engage in exchange mechanisms.
- (60) Wilkes, J. S.; Zaworotko, M. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1992**, 965-967.
- (61) Ball, R. G.; Graham, W. A. G.; Heinekey, D. M.; Hoyano, J. K.; McMaster, A. D.; Mattson, B. M.; Michel, S. T. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, 29, 2023-2025.
- (62) Parent, A. R.; Brewster, T. P.; De Wolf, W.; Crabtree, R. H.; Brudvig, G. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, 51, 6147-6152.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

